IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

BRENDA LERMA, INDIVIDUALLY, AS	§
NEXT FRIEND OF J.L. AND D.L., AND	§
AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE	§
ESTATE OF LUIS LERMA; FOR AND	§
ON BEHALF OF ALL THOSE	§
ENTITLED TO RECOVER FOR HIS	§
DEATH UNDER THE TEXAS	§
WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVAL	§
ACTS, et al.,	§
	§
Plaintiffs,	§
	§
v.	§CIVIL ACTION NO: 1:12-229
	§
BRIGGS & STRATTON	§
CORPORATION, AND BRIGGS &	§
STRATTON POWER PRODUCTS	§
GROUP, LLC	§
	§ § §
Defendants.	§

ORDER

Docket Call and Final Pretrial Conference in this case is presently set for April 1, 2014, and the parties must file a joint proposed pretrial order by March 18, 2014, under the scheduling order. See Dkt. No. 19 at 1. The Court is in receipt of the parties' Notice of Settlement filed March 7, 2014. Dkt. No. 35. In this notice, the parties represent that they have reached an agreement to settle Plaintiffs' claims, and the proposed settlement includes the claims of the two minors whose interest Plaintiff Brenda Lerma represents, J.L. and D.L. Id. at 2. The parties also state that they will propose the name of one or more attorneys ad litem in their motion to approve the settlement of the minors' claims. See id. In order to avoid even the appearance of impropriety, the Court will independently appoint an

attorney ad litem should the parties file a motion to approve the proposed settlement agreement.

Based on the foregoing representations, the Court finds that good cause exists to amend the scheduling order, see FED. R. CIV. P. 16(b)(4), and ORDERS the March 18, 2014, deadline to file a proposed joint pretrial order STAYED and the April 1 and 3, 2014, settings CANCELLED. The Court further ORDERS the parties to file a joint status report within 28 days after the entry of this order regarding the settlement agreement. If appropriate, the status report should specify a date by which the parties in good faith believe they will file a motion to approve the proposed settlement of the minors' claims and dispose of Plaintiffs' remaining claims pursuant to the settlement agreement. The Court further ORDERS the parties to confer before filing a motion to approve the settlement agreement of the minors' claims and set forth in any such motion the parties' views on who should pay the attorney ad litem's fees.

SIGNED this 11th day of March, 2014.

Hilda Tagle

Senior United States District Judge